



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/541,997

02/07/2006

Kenji Muraki

MTS-3564US

6609

23122 7590 08/14/2009  
RATNERPRESTIA  
P.O. BOX 980  
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482

EXAMINER

ARCHER, CHRISTOPHER B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2432

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/14/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/541,997	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MURAKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHRISTOPHER B. ARCHER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2432	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER B. ARCHER. (3) DEBORAH GROVE.

(2) Gilberto Barron Jr.. (4) Jacques Etkowicz.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rhoads et al. (US 6,442,285).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner cited additional columns and clarified the Rhoads rejections for claims 1 and 16, stating that the rejection would be upheld based upon the proposed amendments. Examiner agreed to re-examine claim 15 based upon attorney's arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/CHRISTOPHER BRUCE ARCHER/ Examiner, Art Unit 2432	/Gilberto Barron Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2432
---	---